

## D ERRATA

1. Page 18, paragraph 3 of the Northern Pipeline Interconnector (NPI) Stage 2 Environmental Impact Statement (December 2008) (the EIS) states 'Construction of the project will be in accordance with a detailed construction environmental management plan (CEMP), prepared in consultation with the Queensland Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'. The Northern Network Alliance (NNA) retracts this statement as it recognises that the Queensland EPA is a regulatory body and, as such, is not responsible for providing consultation on management plans. NNA has suitably qualified personnel who have developed these management plans.
2. NNA acknowledges that the Department of Mines and Energy (DME) grants mining leases under the *Mineral Resources Act 1989* and not the Department of Natural Resources and Water (NRW) as stated in Section 1.7.1 of the EIS.
3. Page 143, Section 3.2.3 of the EIS states 'The majority of facilities cleared land'. This statement was a misprint and was intended to read 'The majority of facilities will be located on cleared land'.
4. Appendix Q of the EIS (Rehabilitation and Revegetation Plan, Table 4, p.11) listed the scientific name for the broad (large) leaf privet as *Shinus terebinthifolius*. This is incorrect and should have read *Ligustrum lucidum*.
5. The statement published on page 161 of the EIS regarding the Bridges Investigation Project is incorrect as the Bridges Project will not proceed (as announced by State Government on 24 July 2008).
6. The statement published on page 57 of the EIS that 'The EIS is not assessing or seeking approval for any other facilities other than those required for drought flows.' is now incorrect. The EIS and SEIS are now seeking approval for facilities required for Northern Flow Direction capabilities.
7. Section D.4.5 of Appendix D of the EIS refers to the species *Triunia robusta*. This is incorrect and was intended to state *Syzigium hodgkinsoniae*.
8. The statement published on page 161 of the EIS that 'The primary objectives of the NPI Stage 2 as a drought contingency project is to secure additional treated water supplies in the short term in case of ongoing drought conditions in Brisbane's catchment prior to water from the proposed Traveston Crossing Dam being available in 2011.' is incorrect.

This statement should have read 'The primary objectives of the NPI Stage 2 as a drought contingency project is to secure additional treated water supplies in the short term in case of ongoing drought conditions in Brisbane's catchment, prior to water from the proposed Traveston Crossing Dam being available.'

9. The EIS states on page 40, in Section 1.5.2 Objectives of the EIS that 'The primary objective of this EIS is to ensure all potential environmental values, social and economic impacts of the NPI Stage 2 project are identified, and appropriate mitigation measures are recommended'. In addition to the above, the EIS should also have included the following statement: 'NNA will be consistent with the objectives of the *South East Queensland Regional Plan 2005-2026* and its policies; in particular *Desired Regional Outcomes 2 and 3 (DR02 and DR03)*.'

10. The EIS outlines the *Environmental Design Features* on page 58; this list of features should have also included the following statement: 'use of the *Maroochy Sediment and Erosion Manual* as best practice guidelines'.

This should also have been written as follows, to reflect the intent of the SEQ Regional Plan 'to identify, avoid, minimise and then, if necessary, mitigate impacts including potential offsets':

'The primary objective of this EIS is to ensure all potential environmental values, social and economic impacts of the NPI Stage 2 project are identified and subsequently avoided, minimised or appropriate mitigation measures recommended (including potential offsets)'.